

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,557	02/05/2002	Debarag N. Banerjee	S00-205	9864
30869	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
LUMEN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SERVICES, INC.			MARCELO, MELVIN C	
	2345 YALE STREET, 2ND FLOOR PALO ALTO, CA 94306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. V					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commence	10/071,557	BANERJEE, DEBARAG N.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melvin Marcelo	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	ebruary 2002.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
	- The same approximation of the method of the method is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is not clear from the specification how to use the invention. In the specification, the claimed feature of "sending a plurality of non-duplicate acknowledgements of a single packet whenever a packet is received after an out-of-order packet is received" is described as "[b]ecause each packet comprises a plurality of octet fragments, standard TCP permits multiple non-duplicate cumulative acknowledgments of a single packet" (page 7, lines 21-23). The non-duplicate acknowledgements of a single packet "are interpreted as acknowledgments of separate packets, and result in accelerated increase in window size" (page 7, lines 29-30); it is not clear how this latter 'interpretation' feature is accomplished. First of all, the non-duplicate acknowledgements are of a single packet such that while the octet fragment that is acknowledged is non-duplicative, the particular single packet is duplicatively acknowledged since it holds each of the octet fragments. It is not clear how to accomplish the "acknowledgements of separate packets" when only a single packet holds the plurality of octet fragments.

Second, the window size at the transmitting host is based on the number of unacknowledged packets (page 2, lines 11-13). It is not clear how the plurality of fragment

Application/Control Number: 10/071,557

Art Unit: 2662

acknowledgements would acknowledge a plurality of packets when such fragment acknowledgements must be associated with a packet in the transmit window and only a single packet is associated with the plurality of octet fragments. In the transmit window, the single packet holding the plurality of octet fragments would be unacknowledged until all of its fragments have been acknowledged such that the window size would remain the same until the last of the fragment acknowledgements have been received. Thus, it is not clear from the specification how a plurality of non-duplicate acknowledgements of a single packet is interpreted as acknowledgements of separate packets when (1) a single packet holds each of the octet fragments and (2) the transmit window is based on unacknowledged packets rather than unacknowledged octets.

Page 3

Each of the independent claims recites this feature:

- 1. In a data network comprising a first host, a second host, and a data connection between the first host and the second host, a method implemented at the second host comprising: a) determining whether error-induced losses or congestion-losses dominate the data connection; b) if congestion-losses dominate the data connection, using a standard transmission protocol technique for acknowledging packets; and c) if error-induced losses dominate the connection, sending a plurality of non-duplicate acknowledgements of a single packet whenever a packet is received after an out-of-order packet is received.
- 11. A method for providing a packet transport protocol within a data communication network having a first host, a second host, and a lossy link connected to the second host, the method comprising using a standard transport protocol at the first host, and using a modified transport protocol at the second host, wherein the modified transport protocol comprises sending a plurality of non-duplicate acknowledgements of a single packet whenever error-induced losses dominate the data connection and a new packet is received after an out-of-order packet is received.
- 16. A method for acknowledging packets in a data communications host communicating over a lossy data connection, the method comprising: if error-induced losses dominate the data connection, sending a plurality of non-duplicate acknowledgements of a single packet in response to receiving a new packet after receiving an out-of-order packet.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. None of the cited art uses non-duplicative acknowledgements of a single packet whenever a packet is received after an out-of-order packet is received during error-induced losses over a connection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Marcelo whose telephone number is 571-272-3125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Marcelo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2662

December 5, 2005